

**Student Evaluation of Instructor Summer Semester 2018**

**Andrew Young School of Policy Studies  
Student Evaluation of Instructor**

**Course:** 55492 ECON 2106 PRINCIPLES OF MICROECONOMICS  
**Instructor:** Peyman Firouzi Naeim  
**Distribution:** Online  
**Enrollment:** 17  
**Respondents:** 14  
**Percentage:** 82.4%

5 - Strongly Agree  
 1 - Strongly Disagree  
 0 - N/A

	5	4	3	2	1	0	Inst	Sect	Crse	Dept	Coll	StdD
1. The instructor followed the plan for the course as established in the syllabus.	5	2	0	1	0	0	4.4	4.4	4.6	4.4	4.5	1.1
2. The instructor gave assignments relevant to the goals of this course.	3	3	1	1	0	0	4	4	4.6	4.3	4.5	1.1
3. The instructor explained the course grading system clearly.	2	4	0	1	1	0	3.6	3.6	4.5	4.3	4.5	1.4
4. The instructor was willing and able to answer students' questions.	5	2	0	1	0	0	4.4	4.4	4.5	4.2	4.4	1.1
5. The instructor was receptive to students' and others' opinions.	4	1	3	0	0	0	4.1	4.1	4.4	4.2	4.4	1
6. Test questions clearly related to course content.	3	2	1	0	2	0	3.5	3.5	4.4	4.2	4.4	1.7
7. The instructor communicates effectively.	3	2	0	1	2	0	3.4	3.4	4.3	4.1	4.3	1.8
8. The instructor was well prepared.	3	2	0	2	1	0	3.5	3.5	4.4	4.2	4.4	1.6
9. The instructor demonstrated extensive knowledge of the subject.	4	3	1	0	0	0	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.5	.7

10.	The instructor stimulated me to intellectual effort beyond that required by most courses.	4	1	0	1	2	0	3.5	3.5	4.2	4.1	4.3	1.9
11.	The instructor's teaching methods aid students in understanding the material.	3	1	1	0	3	0	3.1	3.1	4.2	4	4.3	1.9
12.	The instructor provided helpful feedback on assignments.	3	2	0	0	3	0	3.3	3.3	4.3	4.1	4.3	1.9
13.	The instructor was accessible to students outside of class.	3	3	1	0	0	1	4.3	4.3	4.6	4.3	4.4	.8
14.	I would recommend this instructor to anyone who is planning to take this course.	3	2	0	0	2	1	3.6	3.6	4.3	4	4.3	1.8
15.	The overall structuring and sequencing of topics in this course facilitated learning.	4	1	1	0	2	0	3.6	3.6	4.3	4.2	4.4	1.8
16.	Course assignments, including examinations, required creative and original thinking beyond mere memorization of material.	3	2	2	0	1	0	3.8	3.8	4.3	4.2	4.4	1.4
17.	I am pleased with how much I learned in the course.	4	1	0	1	2	0	3.5	3.5	4.2	4.1	4.3	1.9
18.	Considering the subject matter of the course, the instructor was effective as a teacher.	3	2	0	1	1	0	3.7	3.7	4.3	4.1	4.3	1.6
19.	Generally, how many hours per week did you spend outside of class preparing for class?	<b>Total</b>	<b>%</b>										
	0-2	1	12.5										
	3-4	3	37.5										
	4-8	4	50.0										
	9-14	0	0										
<hr/>													
20.	What is your grade point average at GSU?	<b>Total</b>	<b>%</b>										
	4.30-4.00	1	12.5										
	3.99-3.75	1	12.5										
	3.74-3.00	1	12.5										
	2.99-2.50	4	50.0										
	2.50-0.00	1	12.5										
	New GSU Student (No GPA)	0	0										
<hr/>													
21.	For undergraduates only, which best applies to you?	<b>Total</b>	<b>%</b>										
	freshman	0	0										
	sophomore	2	28.6										
	junior	2	28.6										

senior	3	42.9
other	0	0

5 - Superior

1 - Poor

0 - N/A

	5	4	3	2	1	0	Inst	Sect	Crse	Dept	Coll	StdD
22. Considering both the limitations and possibilities of the subject matter and course, how would you rate the overall teaching effectiveness of this instructor?	2	2	1	1	2	0	3.1	3.1	4.3	4	4.2	1.6
23. What is your overall rating of this course?	3	2	1	0	2	0	3.5	3.5	4.2	4	4.2	1.7

Course strengths.

There are no comments for this question.

Instructor strengths.

There are no comments for this question.

Course weaknesses.

There are no comments for this question.

Instructor weaknesses.

There are no comments for this question.

Suggestions for course improvement.

There are no comments for this question.

Suggestions for instructor improvement.

There are no comments for this question.

Comments on classroom environment (for example: temperature, audio/visual equipment, visibility, etc.).

There are no comments for this question.

Release: 1.0.0

© 2018 Ellucian Company L.P. and its affiliates.